

AZA Preprint: 1992/5.

Rigorous bounds to the atomic ionization potential

J.C. Angulo, J. Antolín, A. Zarzo

Updated preprint version: October 1994

of Ref.: *J. Phys. B* **26** (1993) L451–L455.

Rigorous bounds to the atomic ionization potential*

J.C. Angulo

Depto. Física Moderna, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de
Granada, E-18071 Granada, Spain
e-mail address: angulo@ugr.es

J. Antolín

Departamento de Física Teórica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de
Zaragoza, 50009-Zaragoza, Spain.
e-mail address: juan@posta.unizar.es

A. Zarzo

Depto. Matemática Aplicada, E.T.S. de Ingenieros Industriales,
Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, E-28006 Madrid, Spain.
e-mail address: azarzo@etsii.upm.es

Abstract. Several rigorous upper bounds to the atomic ionization potential ϵ are obtained from the Schrödinger equation for atomic systems. They are expressed in terms of radial expectation values $\langle r^\alpha \rangle$ and/or the electron density and its second derivative at the nucleus, $\rho(0)$ and $\rho''(0)$ respectively. A numerical study in a non-relativistic Hartree-Fock framework is carried out for some atoms. It is shown that the accuracy of the relationship among ϵ , $\rho(0)$ and $\rho''(0)$ is higher than 80% for all atoms studied. An interesting property of the bounds in terms of various $\langle r^\alpha \rangle$ is that they reach the exact value of the ionization potential in a limiting case.

Pacs Numbers: 31.10.+z, 31.15.+q.

The first ionization potential in atoms, ϵ , plays an important role in the study of the atomic structure [7], not only because it describes the long-range behavior of the spherically-averaged atomic charge density $\rho(r)$ [3, 11] but also because it is an experimentally measurable quantity of paramount importance [7].

In [3] it is shown that the value ϵ determines a region for which $\rho(r)$ presents some structural properties. The argument is based on their equation (2.24), i.e.

$$-\frac{1}{2}u'' + \left(\epsilon - \frac{Z}{r}\right)u \leq 0 \quad (1)$$

which is valid for any atom of nuclear charge Z for which $\rho(r)$ is derived from the Schrödinger equation in the infinite nuclear mass approximation. Here, $u(r) \equiv r[\rho(r)]^{1/2}$. Atomic units are used throughout this paper.

In the present work, we use this expression to obtain several rigorous bounds to ϵ in terms of some radial expectation values as well as a rigorous relationship among ϵ and the charge density and its second derivative at the nucleus, $\rho(0)$ and $\rho''(0)$ respectively.

First of all, we write (1) in terms of $\rho(r)$:

$$\rho''(r) + \frac{2}{r} [\rho'(r) + 2Z\rho(r)] - 4\epsilon\rho(r) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{[\rho'(r)]^2}{\rho(r)} \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

*Updated preprint version October 1994 of Ref.: *J. Phys. B* **26** (1993) L451–L455.

For $r = 0$, and taking into account the so-called *cuspl condition* [4, 5, 10], which gives that $\rho'(0) = -2Z\rho(0)$, this equation transforms into

$$\frac{\rho''(0)}{4Z^2\rho(0)} \geq \frac{5}{6} + \frac{\epsilon}{3Z^2} \quad (3)$$

which is the only rigorous bound to $\rho''(0)$ known up to now, to the best of our knowledge. Other bounds to $\rho''(0)$ have been recently found [1], although (i) they do not depend on the ionization potential ϵ and (ii) they are non-rigorous since they are based on some realistic, but not yet proved, properties of $\rho(r)$. This result has to be compared with the well-known behavior [1]

$$\frac{\rho''(0)}{4Z^2\rho(0)} \approx 1$$

In *Table I*, it is shown the goodness of (3). It is easy to see that, as Z increases, the bound to $\rho''(0)$ improves. Its accuracy is always higher than 80%; for $Z \rightarrow \infty$, it is $\sim 83\%$.

Z	$\frac{\rho''(0)}{4Z^2\rho(0)}$	$\frac{5}{6} + \frac{\epsilon}{3Z^2}$	%
2	1.0642	0.909	85.4%
6	1.0326	0.837	81.1%
10	1.0199	0.836	82.0%
14	1.0134	0.834	82.3%
18	1.0111	0.834	82.5%
27	1.0084	0.833	82.7%
36	1.0032	0.833	83.1%
45	0.9999	0.833	83.3%
54	1.0003	0.833	83.3%

Table I.- The ratio $\rho''(0)/4Z^2\rho(0)$ and the lower bound given by (3) for several atoms. Atomic units are used throughout.

Another way to obtain relationships involving ϵ is by multiplying (2) by $r^{\alpha+1}$. Now, we can write

$$r^{\alpha+1}\rho''(r) + 2r^\alpha\rho'(r) + 4Zr^\alpha\rho(r) - 4\epsilon r^{\alpha+1}\rho(r) - \frac{1}{2}r^{\alpha+1}\frac{[\rho'(r)]^2}{\rho(r)} \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

It is worthwhile to point out that this expression transforms into an equality in the case of the ground-state Hydrogen atom, i.e. when $\rho(r) = \pi^{-1}e^{-2r}$ and $\epsilon = 1/2$.

Now we can follow two different ways:

1. To take into account the negativity of the last term, i.e.

$$\frac{1}{2}r^{\alpha+1}\frac{[\rho'(r)]^2}{\rho(r)} \geq 0$$

to remove it from (4).

2. To use the *generalized Hölder's inequality* [6] in order to bound the contribution of this term. When $\rho(r)$ is monotonically decreasing, which is actually the case for ground-state atoms [8, 9, 12], the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty r^{\alpha+1} \frac{[\rho'(r)]^2}{\rho(r)} dr \geq \frac{1}{32\pi} \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2 \langle r^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}-3} \rangle^2}{\langle r^{\beta-3} \rangle} \quad (5)$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$.

The results obtained in the first point coincide with those obtained in the second point when such term is not considered. Because of that, we will restrict ourselves to the study of the second point, which provides results valid for $\rho'(r) \leq 0$. When the term with β is neglected, the results are valid even if $\rho(r)$ is not monotonic.

Now, by integrating (4) from $r = 0$ to $+\infty$ (which is only possible for $\alpha > -1$) and taking into account (5), we obtain a set of rigorous relationships involving the quantities ϵ , $\rho(0)$ and various radial expectation values:

- For $\alpha = 0$,
$$\epsilon \leq \frac{Z \langle r^{-2} \rangle - \pi \rho(0)}{\langle r^{-1} \rangle} - \frac{\beta^2 \langle r^{\frac{\beta}{2}-3} \rangle^2}{32 \langle r^{-1} \rangle \langle r^{\beta-3} \rangle} \equiv \epsilon_{0,\beta}(Z)$$
- For $\alpha > 0$,
$$\epsilon \leq \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1) \langle r^{\alpha-3} \rangle + 4Z \langle r^{\alpha-2} \rangle}{4 \langle r^{\alpha-1} \rangle} - \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2 \langle r^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}-3} \rangle^2}{32 \langle r^{\alpha-1} \rangle \langle r^{\beta-3} \rangle} \equiv \epsilon_{\alpha,\beta}(Z)$$

As a particular subcase we have that for $\beta = \alpha > 0$

$$\epsilon \leq \frac{\alpha(\alpha-2) \langle r^{\alpha-3} \rangle + 8Z \langle r^{\alpha-2} \rangle}{8 \langle r^{\alpha-1} \rangle} \equiv \epsilon_{\alpha,\alpha}(Z)$$

It is interesting to show the inequalities for some of the lowest integer values of α and β :

- For $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 0$,
$$\epsilon \leq \frac{Z \langle r^{-2} \rangle - \pi \rho(0)}{\langle r^{-1} \rangle} = \epsilon_{0,0}(Z)$$
- For $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 4$,
$$\epsilon \leq \frac{Z \langle r^{-2} \rangle - \pi \rho(0)}{\langle r^{-1} \rangle} - \frac{\langle r^{-1} \rangle^2}{2 \langle r^{-1} \rangle \langle r \rangle} = \epsilon_{0,4}(Z)$$
- For $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$,
$$\epsilon \leq \frac{Z \langle r^{-1} \rangle}{N} = \epsilon_{1,0}(Z)$$

This bound is specially interesting, because, apart from Z , it only involves N (the number of electrons) and $\langle r^{-1} \rangle$ (basically the energy of attraction electron-nucleus).

- For $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 3$,
$$\epsilon \leq \frac{Z \langle r^{-1} \rangle}{N} - \frac{\langle r^{-1} \rangle^2}{2N^2} = \epsilon_{1,3}(Z)$$

As well as for $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$, here only N , Z and $\langle r^{-1} \rangle$ appear.

- For $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 5$,
$$\epsilon \leq \frac{Z \langle r^{-1} \rangle}{N} - \frac{9N}{8 \langle r^2 \rangle} = \epsilon_{1,5}(Z)$$

Here, also the diamagnetic susceptibility, proportional to $\langle r^2 \rangle$, contributes to the bound to the ionization potential.

- For $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 0$, $\epsilon \leq \frac{\langle r^{-1} \rangle + 2ZN}{2\langle r \rangle} = \epsilon_{2,0}(Z)$
- For $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 2$, $\epsilon \leq \frac{ZN}{\langle r \rangle} = \epsilon_{2,2}(Z)$
- For $\alpha = 3$ and $\beta = 0$, $\epsilon \leq \frac{3N + 2Z\langle r \rangle}{2\langle r^2 \rangle} = \epsilon_{3,0}(Z)$
- For $\alpha = 3$ and $\beta = 3$, $\epsilon \leq \frac{3N + 8Z\langle r \rangle}{8\langle r^2 \rangle} = \epsilon_{3,3}(Z)$

where $N = \langle r^0 \rangle$ is the number of electrons of the atom.

In *Table II*, the values of some of these upper bounds to ϵ are shown for several atoms with $Z \leq 54$. We have taken experimental values of ϵ , while $\rho(0)$ and the expectation values $\langle r^\alpha \rangle$ have been calculated by means of the near-Hartree-Fock wavefunctions of Clementi and Roetti [2].

Z	$\alpha = 0$		$\alpha = 1$		$\alpha = 2$		$\alpha = 3$		ϵ (experimental)
	$\beta = 0$	$\beta = 4$	$\beta = 0$	$\beta = 5$	$\beta = 0$	$\beta = 4$	$\beta = 0$	$\beta = 5$	
2	3.76	2.46	3.37	2.42	3.07	1.76	2.83	1.60	0.9037
6	29.40	28.37	14.69	14.20	6.07	5.28	3.76	3.22	0.4140
10	70.73	68.76	31.11	29.91	14.64	12.83	10.02	8.60	0.7926
14	130.78	129.08	49.24	48.75	15.24	14.19	6.94	6.54	0.2996
18	205.17	203.00	69.73	68.95	22.33	20.92	12.15	11.39	0.5794
27	420.37	417.58	122.05	121.28	36.06	34.35	16.04	15.42	0.2890
36	692.65	689.17	182.85	181.83	52.88	50.76	25.27	24.39	0.5147
45	1027.86	1024.05	248.05	247.09	66.01	63.86	29.10	28.34	0.2743
54	1418.67	1414.60	317.87	316.90	78.72	76.57	34.97	34.19	0.4460

Table II.- Some upper bounds $\epsilon_{\alpha,\beta}$ to the ionization potential ϵ for several atoms. Remember that those with $\beta = 0$ do not make use of the property of monotonic decreasing for $\rho(r)$. Atomic units are used throughout.

A few comments are in order. First, the quality of the upper bounds to ϵ quickly worsens with increasing Z . So, these bounds are only reasonably good for very light atoms. Secondly, the quality of the bounds $\epsilon_{\alpha,\beta}(Z)$ increases appreciably for increasing α , while the effect of considering $\beta > 0$ for fixed α (which implies to take into account the property of monotonicity of $\rho(r)$) is almost unappreciable except for very light atoms. A deeper study of the left-hand side of (5) is required in order to improve the results. Finally, it is remarkable that these bounds reach the exact value of the ionization potential for the limiting case $\alpha = \beta \rightarrow \infty$ for all atoms, due to the behavior for high α

$$\frac{\langle r^\alpha \rangle}{\langle r^{\alpha+1} \rangle} \rightsquigarrow \frac{\sqrt{8\epsilon}}{\alpha}$$

which is a consequence of the asymptotic behavior of $\rho(r)$ as [3, 11]

$$\rho(r) \rightsquigarrow e^{-\sqrt{8\epsilon}r}$$

Summarizing, we have shown that (i) there is a strong relationship among $\rho(0)$, $\rho''(0)$ and ϵ , specially interesting for heavy atoms, and (ii) high order moments are useful quantities to bound from above the atomic first ionization potential, specially for light atoms.

References

1. Angulo J C and Dehesa J S 1991 *Phys. Rev. A* **44** 1516.
 2. Clementi E and Roetti C 1974 *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables* **14** 177
 3. Hoffmann-Ostenhof M and Hoffmann-Ostenhof T 1977 *Phys. Rev. A* **16** 1782
 4. Hoffmann-Ostenhof M, Hoffmann-Ostenhof T and Thirring W 1978 *J. Phys. B* **11** L571
 5. Kato T 1957 *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **10** 151
 6. Marshall A W and Olkin I 1979 *Theory of Majorization And Its Applications* (New York: Academic)
 7. Parr R G and Yang W 1989 *Density-Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules* (New York: Oxford Univ. Press)
 8. Simas A M, Sagar R P, Ku A C T and Smith Jr. V H 1988 *Can. J. Chem.* **66** 1923
 9. Sperber G 1971 *Intern. J. Quantum Chem.* **5** 189
 10. Steiner E 1963 *J. Chem. Phys.* **39** 2365
 11. Tal Y 1978 *Phys. Rev. A* **18** 1781
 12. Weinstein H, Politzer P and Srebrenik S 1975 *Theor. Chim. Acta* **38** 159
-